1. Introduction

[1] The dendritic morphology of Martian valley networks, particularly in the Noachian highlands, has long been argued to imply a warmer, wetter early Martian climate, but the character and extent of this period remains controversial. We analyzed scaling relations for the 10 large valley systems incised in terrain of various ages, resolvable using the Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA) and the Thermal Emission Imaging System (THEMIS). Four of the valleys originate in point sources with negligible contributions from tributaries, three are very poorly dissected with a few large tributaries separated by long uninterrupted trunks, and three exhibit the dendritic, branching morphology typical of terrestrial channel networks. We generated width-area and slope-area relationships for each because these relations are identified as either theoretically predicted or robust terrestrial empiricisms for graded precipitation-fed, perennial channels. We also generated distance-area relationships (Hack’s law) because they similarly represent robust characteristics of terrestrial channels (whether perennial or ephemeral). We find that the studied Martian valleys, even the dendritic ones, do not satisfy those empiricisms. On Mars, the width-area scaling exponent \( b \) of \(-0.7\)–\(-4.7\) contrasts with values of 0.3–0.6 typical of terrestrial channels; the slope-area scaling exponent \( \theta \) ranges from \(-2.56\)–\(-5.5\), whereas values of 0.3–0.5 are typical on Earth; the length-area, or Hack’s exponent \( n \) ranges from 0.47 to 19.2, while values of 0.5–0.6 are found on Earth. None of the valleys analyzed satisfy all three relations typical of terrestrial perennial channels. As such, our analysis supports the hypotheses that ephemeral and/or immature channel morphologies provide the closest terrestrial analogs to the dendritic networks on Mars, and point source discharges provide terrestrial analogs best suited to describe the other large Martian valleys.

aqueous activity on Mars. However, such evidence is very localized and the duration implied remains cryptic [Kraal et al., 2008].

[4] On the basis of studying the topography of two large drainage systems (Ma’adim Vallis and Al-Qahira Vallis), Aharonson et al. [2002] find that no significant landscape change resulted from fluvial incision, other than that directly resulting from carving of valleys. In contrast, the observation that some Martian valley networks satisfy Horton’s laws [Horton, 1945] (scaling relationships based on stream ordering, bifurcation ratio, length ratio, and area ratio) has been used together with hypsometry [Ansan and Mangold, 2006] and the assumption that high drainage densities implied a warmer and wetter climate [e.g., Luo and Stepinski, 2006] to assert causative parallels between Martian valley networks and terrestrial fluvial valley networks [Ansan and Mangold, 2006; Quantin et al., 2005]. However, as cautioned by Kirchner [1993] and Carr [1995] in discussing their applicability to Earth and Mars, respectively, dendritic networks can exhibit Hortonian properties, regardless of (1) the origin of the water (e.g., precipitation across a drainage area or point sources at springs), or (2) whether flow is perennial or ephemeral. Furthermore, as particular drainage densities can be found in a wide range of climates on Earth, and are a function of climate, vegetation, relief, rock type, and time [Baker and Partridge, 1986], as well as map scale or image resolution [Carr and Chuang, 1997; Mangold et al., 2004], it could be misleading to elevate drainage density over other potential Martian climate indicators on the basis of terrestrial analogy [Carr, 1995; Carr and Chuang, 1997].

[5] Other workers have used statistical properties of Martian valley networks to infer climatic regimes that may have existed during their formation. For example, Stepinski and Stepinski [2005] and Stepinski et al. [2004] analyzed drainage networks on Earth and Mars using fractal analysis, and concluded that an arid terrestrial environment provides the best analog for the Martian valley networks. Luo [2002] used hypsometry to conclude that the form of Martian networks is consistent with formation by precipitation-recharged groundwater sapping in a warm and wet past, while Ansan and Mangold [2006] used hypsometry to suggest that Warrego Valles is “in agreement with Davis’ maturity stage,” implying a long period of incision during which river profiles would have evolved to achieve grade.

[6] Additionally, Irwin et al. [2005a] report that Martian valley networks in the Noachian highland are physiographically “immature.” They compiled 15 channel widths (and corresponding drainage areas) incised within 15 different valleys and obtained a composite width-area scaling exponent b of 0.27. They additionally report inferred discharge and runoff production based on a relationship between discharge and width as measured in alluvial channels of the Missouri River basin (scaled for Mars). While their study represents an initial assessment of the hydraulic geometry of Martian channels, to our knowledge no systematic study of the downstream hydraulic geometry of a Martian valley network has been performed.

[7] Here, we evaluate the applicability of well-established, physical relationships of terrestrial fluvial morphology to those large Martian valleys resolvable by Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA) topographic data [Zuber et al., 1992], which excludes from analyses finer-scale valley networks that may not be genetically similar. In order to capture as much morphological diversity as possible, we have chosen three valleys that are well dissected and approach the dendritic morphology of terrestrial channel networks (Evros Vallis, Naktong Vallis, and Indus Vallis), three valleys that are very poorly dissected with a few large tributaries separated by long uninterrupted trunks (the 2 branches of Nanedi Valles, and Nirgal Vallis), and four valleys that have negligible contributions from tributaries (Bahram Vallis, Scamander Vallis, Hrad Vallis, and Tinto Vallis).

[8] We compare their downstream variation in width with the analogous downstream variation for channels carved by perennial and ephemeral flow on Earth, as well as with catastrophic flood channels preserved in the terrestrial geological record. We further evaluate the robust terrestrial empiricisms of longitudinal profile concavity [e.g., Sinha and Parker, 1996; Whipple and Tucker, 1999] and Hack’s law [e.g., Hack, 1957; Montgomery and Dietrich, 1992]. At present, the resolution of the global DEM from MOLA [Som et al., 2008; Zuber et al., 1992] precludes analysis of smaller more branched valley networks reported in the literature [e.g., Mangold et al., 2004].

[9] A key source of uncertainty in regard to the morphometric analysis of large Martian valleys is the relationship of the valleys to the flows that carved them. Indeed, Martian valleys are heavily infilled with eolian and volcanic materials, obscuring any evidence of fluvial bed forms. As such, Martian valleys that contain identifiable inner channels are extremely rare [Carr and Malin, 2000; Irwin et al., 2005a; Jaumann et al., 2005], whereas valleys with no clearly defined, inner channel are much more common. As such, pending discovery of additional channels inset within Martian valleys, a proxy for channel width is required to enable this study in comparative planetology. Since influences of secondary modification such as mass wasting, eolian processes and mantling by lava flows [Mars Channel Working Group, 1983] appear to be small in Martian valleys [Harrison and Grimm, 2005; Williams and Phillips, 2001], and many of the valleys studied here have crisp valley boundaries (see section 4), valley width becomes a plausible surrogate candidate for channel width. In any case, widening of valley widths due to hillslope erosion will more significantly affect the absolute dimension of measured valley widths, than their downstream trend, as studied here.

[10] Furthermore, noting that (1) it is often unclear that valleys do not represent channels, (2) valley width has been shown, in cases where the valley walls are not heavily dissected (akin to Mars), to be a proxy for channel width [Salisbury et al., 1968], and (3) valley width has been applied successfully in scaling laws involving channel width [Snyder and Kammer, 2008], we adopt valley width as a surrogate for channel width. In addition, downstream bed curvature (the derivative of slope) is seen as a robust fluvial parameter for geomorphic studies on Mars.

[11] The assumption that the channel-forming discharge originates from meteoric precipitation across a topographically defined drainage area underlies a hydraulic comparison between perennial channels on Earth and valley networks on Mars. Although groundwater originating from beyond the boundaries of drainage basins could contribute
to the discharge, such an assumption is necessary if we are to compare the hydraulic properties of the dendritic valleys on Mars with their precipitation formed terrestrial counterparts.

2. Downstream Variation of Channels

We investigated for 10 Martian valleys (Figure 1 and Table 1) three relationships that are expected to hold in general for terrestrial precipitation-fed channel networks. Terrestrial perennial channels typically exhibit a predictable downstream increase in width due to downstream increase in drainage area collecting basin-wide precipitation. A graded longitudinal profile (sensu Mackin [1948]) exhibits a concave planform curvature that displays an inverse relationship between drainage area and slope. Finally, Montgomery and Dietrich [1992] compiled data from >600 drainage basins spanning different climatic regions around the world, and confirmed that the relationship between channel length and corresponding drainage area, or Hack’s law [1957], provides a robust global empiricism for terrestrial channels.

2.1. Width-Area Scaling

Leopold and Maddock [1953] quantified systematic downstream trends in the increase of width with drainage area for alluvial channels. The now classic terrestrial empiricism that relates how channel width W scales with discharge Q in humid terrain may be written as

$$W \propto Q^b. \quad (1)$$

Numerous studies have confirmed that $b \approx 0.5$ for alluvial channels [Knighton, 1998]. Montgomery and Gran [2001] reported that bedrock channels exhibited comparable trends, with $b = 0.3–0.5$, in a study where drainage area $A$ was taken as a surrogate for discharge $Q$, a finding strengthened by Whipple’s [2004] global data compilation. As such, equation (1) can be written

$$W \propto A^b. \quad (2)$$

Dryland rivers [Bull and Kirkby, 2002; Thomas, 1997] found in semi-arid to arid environments scale quite differently, in some ways, from humid temperate channels [Merritt and Wohl, 2003]. While Mabbutt [1977] recognized that semi-arid to arid rivers decrease in width downstream, Wolman and Gerson [1978] and Reid and Frostick [1994] identified an asymptotic limit in channel width as drainage areas reached >50 km$^2$. In addition to the limited size of the drainage basin affected by individual rain storms, discharge sinks such as hydration of soil, evaporation and infiltration explain this apparent upper limit to the width of dryland channels. Hooke and Mant [2002] further identify a “very high variability in width” superimposed on the asymptotic trend as characteristic of dryland channels.

Another important characteristic of dryland rivers is that the channel width does not necessarily record the most recent fluvial activity, but can reflect older large floods. Indeed, Wolman and Gerson [1978], for example, report that widths of dryland rivers expand to accommodate discharge surges, and following the surge, tend to remain in an expanded form. Arid channel morphology thus reflects the largest discharge surge, or possibly a compound morphology created by surges of different magnitude [Hooke and Mant, 2002], rather than the adjustment to a dominant discharge typical of perennial channels [Williams, 1978; Wolman and Miller, 1960]. Hence, the challenge inherent to the inverse problem of estimating the original discharge...
based solely on morphology becomes particularly relevant for Mars. Nonetheless, this scaling relationship (equation (2)) provides robust expectations for trends in drainage area and valley width scaling if indeed Martian valleys were carved by precipitation distributed across a drainage basin. This assumption underpinning downstream variation in channel scaling does not hold if the source is discrete, as illustrated by references to terrestrial point source discharges such as dam-break floods, or if precipitation was only active over a portion of the drainage basin at a time, as in terrestrial dryland rivers.

In contrast to the typical interpretation of Martian valley networks, large, catastrophic point source release of water is commonly cited as the main cause of the Martian outflow channels [Carr, 1996]. The multiple, catastrophic drainage events of Pleistocene lakes such as lake Missoula are the closest Earth analogs in terms of scale [Komar, 1980]. Measuring downstream variations in channel widths of large outbursts such as Lake Missoula can prove challenging, where the flows were anastomosing over a large scale. However, spillways cut by lake outbursts when glacial retreat released large quantities of stored meltwater are characterized by lack of major tributaries (except, of course, for other spillways), as well as deeply entrenched constant-width channels, and commonly terminate at large coarse-grained sediment fans [Keheew and Lord, 1987]. Hence, a relatively constant downstream width provides a key distinguishing characteristic of channels carved by point sourced outburst floods.

The difference in acceleration due to gravity on Mars does affect the absolute width of the channels, but not their overall trend (and hence won’t change the value of the exponent b). By expanding the scaling relationship obtained by Finnegan et al. [2005] to include the acceleration due to the gravity term g, we find (Appendix A)

\[ W \propto Q^{b_1} g^{-\gamma_b} S^{\gamma_b}, \]

where \( W \) is channel width, \( Q \) is discharge, and \( S \) is slope; from which (assuming the same discharge and slope) one can derive

\[ W_{\text{Earth}} = 0.8 \cdot W_{\text{Mars}} \text{ or } W_{\text{Mars}} = 1.25 \cdot W_{\text{Earth}}, \]

as reported by Irwin et al. [2005a].

2.2. Slope-Area Scaling

[19] The propensity for the equilibrium form of river profiles to be concave (to decrease in slope downstream) has long been recognized on the basis of an erosional tradeoff between slope and discharge [Gilbert, 1877]. Whipple and Tucker [1999] derived predicted scaling relations of river slope with drainage area by postulating that erosion rate is a power function of shear stress [Howard, 1994; Howard and Kerby, 1983]. Assuming a balance between rock uplift and river incision, they show that slope \( S \) can be related to drainage area \( A \) as

\[ S \propto A^{-\theta}, \]

where \( \theta \) is the river profile concavity. In this context, the value of 0.5 derived for \( \theta \) from theoretical grounds is close to values of 0.4–0.5 typical of active terrestrial orogens [Whipple and Tucker, 1999]. However, \( \theta \) also has been observed to vary between 0.22 and 0.63 for nonequilibrium channels incising a rift margin in the Sierra Madre Occidental, Mexico [Montgomery and Lopez-Blanco, 2003]. As such, the value of the concavity cannot constrain whether the channel is in equilibrium. In contrast, dryland rivers do not display similar values of concavity. Instead, measurements of their longitudinal profiles suggest a lack of downstream variability in slope [e.g., Hooke and Mant, 2002; Navarro Hervas, 1991]. Nonetheless, positive values of \( \theta \), translating into concave-up longitudinal profiles (positive curvature), are expected for nonarid channels carved by precipitation-fed flows.

2.3. Langbein’s Concavity Index

[20] Langbein [1964] proposed a drainage area independent index of concavity (that we term the Langbein concavity index (LCI) to avoid confusion with \( \theta \) defined above). On the basis of the shape of the channel longitudinal profile, it is defined as

\[ \text{LCI} = 2h/H, \]

where \( H \) is total fall and \( h \) is the height difference between the profile at middistance and a straight line joining the end points of the profile [Knighton, 1998]. This simple method for finding the sign of the concavity (positive values equating to concave profiles and negative values to convex profiles) has the advantage of not assuming that a surface-defined area produces the channel forming runoff. For reference, the Rhine and the Amazon rivers have LCI values of 1.14 and 1.07, respectively [Knighton, 1998].

2.4. Hack’s Law

[21] The scaling relationship between channel length and drainage area [Hack, 1957] is a robust empiricism for perennial and ephemeral channels through the full range

Table 1. Age and Terrain Characteristic of Valleys Studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valley</th>
<th>Type and Age of Terrain Incised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bahram Vallis</td>
<td>Hesperian ridged plains material( ^{a} ) Early Hesperian( ^{b} ) Noachian hilly and cratered material( ^{a} ) Noachian hilly and cratered material( ^{a} ) Noachian cratered plateau terrain( ^{a} ) Early Hesperian( ^{b} ) Noachian cratered plateau terrain( ^{a} ) Middle Noachian( ^{b} ) Amazonian cratered plains material( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evros Vallis</td>
<td>(302.5'E, 20.7&quot;N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hrad Vallis</td>
<td>(13.5'E, 12.5&quot;N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indus Vallis</td>
<td>(39'E, 19&quot;N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naktong Vallis</td>
<td>(35.4'E, 1.7&quot;N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanedi Valles</td>
<td>(main + trib.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nirgal Vallis</td>
<td>(319'E, 28&quot;N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scamander Vallis</td>
<td>(29.90'E, 14.25&quot;N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinto Vallis</td>
<td>(111.5'E, 4.5&quot;N)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{a} \)Skinner et al. [2006].

\( ^{b} \)Harrison and Grimm [2005].
of drainage area size on Earth [Montgomery and Dietrich, 1992]. It is commonly written as

\[ L \propto A^q, \]  

where \( L \) is the channel length and \( A \) the drainage area. Whereas Hack [1957] found a value of 0.6 for \( n \), he suggested that \( n \) might vary somewhat depending on the area of study. Mueller [1973] reports a changing \( n \) value from 0.6 to \(~0.5\) with increasing network size. Montgomery and Dietrich [1992] report a value of 0.49 for their composite data set, in which they assembled more than 600 perennial and ephemeral channels ranging in size from 1000 m² to the Amazon river (~7 \times 10^6 km²). Consequently, values of \(~0.5–0.6\) are expected for fluvial systems in general.

3. Methodology

[22] Defining a drainage basin on a surface being actively cratered is difficult because of the intricacies of basin disruptions and fragmentations from the impact cratering [Howard, 2007]. However, most of the valleys chosen in this study postdate the period of intense cratering (>3.7 Ga) [Hartmann, 2005] except, perhaps, for Evros Vallis, on the basis of their crisp valley boundaries and poorly cratered valley floor (compared with the surrounding plains), as described in section 4. As such, the DEM-computed drainage areas may reasonably represent topographically defined basins typical of conditions that existed during incision. Furthermore, because of an impact-gardened, thick (~2 km), porous (10–20%) megaregolith [Carr, 1986], runoff that may have accumulated in craters could have connected with groundwater originating from deposition and infiltration on the intercrater plains.

[23] For each valley large enough to be analyzed with MOLA (using the 128 pixel per degree MOLA DEM having a resolution of ~460 m per pixel [Smith et al., 2003]), we selected an area on the DEM larger than the topographically defined drainage basin. This new map was imported into ARC/INFO [Environmental Systems Research Institute, 1997] and pits were filled to avoid discontinuities in defining flow direction and the calculation of the drainage areas. Following the fill, grids of flow direction and flow accumulation were computed by finding the direction of steepest slope from each grid cell, and keeping track of how many cells flow into each downstream cell. Valleys were identified as cells of concentrated flow that coincided in map view with features apparent in higher-resolution THEMIS images [Christensen et al., 2004]. Following this, thirty evenly spaced 50 km cross sections were generated down the main valley for the purpose of measuring valley width, with the corresponding drainage area computed at each location. The map view of the cross sections was overlapped with Mars Global Surveyor ground tracks, and whenever the cross section fell into a zone of poor MOLA coverage, in which interpolation artifacts discernibly influenced representation of valley form [Som et al., 2008], those cross sections were excluded from further analysis.

[24] Width measurements were taken from registered THEMIS VIS images at the same location as the MOLA cross sections, as identified by definable topographical breaks visible on the higher-resolution THEMIS images. Because of the coarseness of the MOLA data compared with the THEMIS VIS images, our automatic cross-section selection routine did not necessarily capture the cross section perpendicular to the valley. As needed, this was corrected using the THEMIS VIS images processed using the Integrated Software for Images and Spectrometers (ISIS; http://isis.astrogeology.usgs.gov). All conclusions in this paper regarding width measurements are inferred from THEMIS VIS measurements, although both THEMIS VIS and MOLA results are reported.

[25] Valley slope was obtained by following a method similar to Howard et al. [2005], in which adjacent 3 km diameter circles were aligned along a valley centerline. Within each circle, all raw MOLA elevation measurements were analyzed to find the one with the lowest elevation, generally indicating valley floor. At this location, the corresponding drainage area was computed. The loci of such points formed the longitudinal profile. A topographic profile paralleling the valley profile but laterally offset 3 km away was obtained from the DEM to give each profile regional context.

4. Study Areas and Results

[26] The valleys in this study incise a variety of terrain, and span the planet geographically (Figure 1). In discussing the terrain age, we use the geologic timescale for Mars, as refined by Hartmann and Neukum [2001]. This timescale is divided into 3 periods: Noachian, Hesperian, and Amazonian. On the basis of impact crater density, with age extrapolated from the lunar record, the Noachian-Hesperian boundary is estimated to be at ~3.5–3.7 Ga, while the Hesperian-Amazonian boundary is set at 2.9–3.3 Ga [Hartmann and Neukum, 2001]. The valleys studied span all three time periods (Table 1).

4.1. Bahram Vallis

[27] Bahram Vallis (302.50°E, 20.7°N) is 350 km long, trending east–west, and flowing from Lunae Planum to Chryse Planitia (Figure 2). Its drainage area is 121,300 km², but because of the wrinkle ridges in Lunae Planum that likely postdate the valley, this value is taken as a minimum. On the basis of crater counts, Lunae Planum is Hesperian in age, making the age of Bahram Vallis at most early Hesperian [Harrison and Grimm, 2005]. Although no channel is apparent within the valley, evidence of surface flow is present in the first third of the valley. THEMIS image V12509005 (Figure 2b) shows evidence of streamlined islands. Image V02536006 (Figure 2c) shows an apparently older (more heavily cratered) terrace next to the relatively craterless valley floor suggesting multiple events of surface flow. The source region is ambiguous and seems to have been altered by (1) ejecta from the Pompeii crater and (2) a wrinkle ridge, characteristic of Lunae Planum. The valley walls are lined with alcoves, appearing dominantly on the south facing slopes.

[28] Bahram Vallis is the only studied valley with a concave longitudinal profile incised in convex topography (Figure 3), resulting in substantial incision of the valley below the regional terrain surface. However, its drainage area hardly increases downstream. Bahram Vallis has scal-
ing exponents \( b, \theta, \) and \( n \) equal to 2.45, 5.46, and 9.79, respectively (Figure 3).

4.2. Nirgal Vallis

Nirgal Vallis (319°E–28°N) is a 550 km east–west trending valley that incises early Hesperian terrain [Harrison and Grimm, 2005] (Figure 4). It flows east into Uzboi Vallis, an outflow channel that drains north into Holden crater, where evidence of perennial surficial water has been inferred in the form of a fossil meander and alluvial fans [Bhattacharya et al., 2005; Malin and Edgett, 2003]. Nirgal Vallis is characterized by short dendritic tributaries to the west [Baker et al., 1992; Sharp and Malin, 1975], with amphitheater head terminations, located dominantly in the first half of the valley. Morphologic evidence of surface flow is seen in THEMIS image V01837003 [Irwin et al., 2005a] (Figure 4b).

Nirgal Vallis has a convex longitudinal profile (Figure 5) similar to that of the terrain it incises (although curious long-wavelength irregularities in slope are observed in the last 150 km), and has an extensive drainage basin of \( 1.5 \times 10^6 \) km\(^2\), almost twice as large as the next largest of the studied basins (Naktong Vallis with \( 8.5 \times 10^5 \) km\(^2\)). Nirgal Vallis has scaling exponents \( b, \theta, \) and \( n \) equal to 2.59, –2.50, and 4.94, respectively (Figure 5).

4.3. Scamander Vallis

Scamander Vallis [Baker and Partridge, 1986; Carr and Malin, 2000] appears to begin in a \( \sim \)25 km diameter crater located at 29.90°E, 14.25°N, and incises to the north into mid-Noachian terrain [Harrison and Grimm, 2005] (Figure 6). The absence of significant cratering on the valley floor and crisp valley boundaries, however, implies a relatively young valley. It has been inferred that Scamander Vallis is a segment of a much longer 4700 km valley [Irwin et al., 2005b], which would suggest that the crater from which Scamander originates is younger than the valley itself. However, in THEMIS image V01734006 (Figure 6b),

Figure 2. (a) Context map of Bahram Vallis. (b) V12509005 detail: Evidence of surface flow (arrows). (c) V02536006 detail: Different age surfaces (based on crater density) within Bahram Vallis (arrows).
Figure 3. Topographic and scaling results for Bahram Vallis. (a) Longitudinal profile (circles) along with valley ridge topography (3 km away from valley centerline). (b) Valley width versus drainage area measurements obtained with MOLA (dots) and THEMIS (circles). (c) Valley slope versus drainage area. (d) Valley length downstream versus drainage area.

Figure 4. (a) Context map of Nirgal Vallis. (b) V01837003 detail: Surface flow evidence within Nirgal Vallis (arrows).
Scamander Vallis breaches the crater rim, indicating the crater is older than the valley. Although one could argue that the crater formed a lake in between valley reaches, we find no evidence of sediment deposition or other layering, as is observed, for example, in Holden crater [Grant et al., 2008]. Consequently, we treat Scamander Vallis as a discrete valley.

Scamander Vallis is characterized by a quasi-linear longitudinal profile that parallels the regional topography (Figure 7), and narrows slightly downstream ($|b| < 1$). Scamander Vallis has scaling exponents $b$, $\theta$, and $n$ of $0.67$, $-0.92$, and $7.26$, respectively (Figure 7).

4.4. Evros Vallis

Located in the Southern Highlands, Evros Vallis (13.5°E–12.5°N) is a 350 km long valley that has the highest mean elevation (650 m) of the 10 large valleys examined in this study (Figure 8), and is likely the oldest [Fassett and Head, 2008]. The steepening of the valley profile ~200 km downstream is also reflected in the regional topography, and is thus unlikely to represent an artifact of fluvial incision. Other knickpoints are identified at the joining of tributary-like features and the main valley [Howard et al., 2005]. The sharp bend observed in THEMIS image V01648003 (Figure 8b) likely represents a structural control [Schumm, 1974] on the morphology. As Evros Vallis lacks any surface evidence of active water flow (such as streamlined islands), its valley bottom is likely covered with secondary infilling, such as dune fields.

Evros Vallis’ longitudinal profile has a slight convexity that mirrors regional topography (Figure 9), with little downstream trend in width. It has scaling exponents $b$, $\theta$, and $n$ of $0.01$, $-0.61$, and $2.41$, respectively (Figure 9).

4.5. Hrad Vallis

Located in the northern plains, Hrad Vallis (135.3°E, 38.4°N) originates from a ~370 m deep graben on the flanks of the Elysium Montes volcanic province [Wilson and Mouginis-Mark, 2003], and flows northwest for ~885 km into Utopia Planitia (Figure 10). It is the longest valley in this study and the most sinuous ($\text{sinuosity} = 1.57$). Compelling evidence of surface flow is apparent as a teardrop-shaped island in THEMIS image V10780005 (Figure 10b). Wilson et al. [2004] find that water initially ~300 m deep (a depth similar to the graben depth in which Hrad Vallis originates) may travel ~2100 km under current Martian conditions, much farther than the length of Hrad Vallis. The longitudinal profile of Hrad Vallis parallels that of the regional surface topography (Figure 11), with little downstream change in width. Hrad Vallis has scaling exponents $b$, $\theta$, and $n$ of $0.01$, $-0.21$, and $0.53$, respectively (Figure 11).
4.6. Nanedi Valles

[36] Nanedi Valles is the only Martian valley we analyzed that has a substantial tributary (Figure 12a). As such, we analyzed both its tributary (the western branch) and the main valley (the eastern branch) as independent valleys. Smaller tributaries are poorly developed and like Nirgal Vallis, begin in amphitheater shaped valleys [Harrison and Grimm, 2005]. Located at 311.7°E, 5°N, Nanedi Vallis flanks the Shalbatana Vallis outflow channel. Nanedi Vallis incises late Noachian terrain, but its crisp edges suggest younger incision. On the basis of crater counts, an early Hesperian age is usually assigned for Nanedi Valles (b) V01734006 detail: Source of Scamander Vallis.

Hesperian age is usually assigned for Nanedi Valles for its younger incision. On the basis of crater counts, an early Hesperian age is usually assigned for Nanedi Valles (prior to merger) has scaling exponents b, θ, and n of 0.34, −5.8, and 22.4, respectively (Figure 13).

[37] The main tributary of Nanedi Valles originates from a highly cratered province directly northeast of Mutch Crater (304.7°E, 0.5°N). Unlike the main valley, it does have a few tributaries, one of which is particularly developed with third-order branching apparent on THEMIS image I10724003 (Figure 12c). However, even those branches begin at amphitheater head terminations.

[38] Like the main valley it joins, the profile of the main tributary of Nanedi Valles parallels that of the surrounding terrain, with a linear profile and no distinct downstream trend in width. Nanedi Valles’ main tributary has scaling exponents b, θ, and n of <0.01, −0.01, and 0.98, respectively (Figure 14).

4.7. Naktong Vallis

[39] Naktong Vallis appears to begin ~5 km north from a small ~20 km in diameter unnamed crater located at 35.4°E, 1.7°N (Figure 15). The valley flows north for 740 km until debouching directly south of Arago crater. It is part of a 4700 km valley system that Irwin et al. [2005b] propose. Yet, while Naktong Vallis incises the north ejecta blanket of the unnamed source crater (THEMIS image V11370014, Figure 15b), no such incision is seen on the southern part of the ejecta blanket (THEMIS image V17198011 and I17198010, Figure 15c). As such, like Scamander Vallis, we choose to treat Naktong Vallis as a discrete valley. Naktong Vallis has scaling exponents b, θ, and n of 0.04, 0.07, and 0.47, respectively (Figure 16).

4.8. Indus Vallis

[40] Indus Vallis (39°E, 19°N) is located directly east of the Cassini impact basin (Figure 17). It flows west into an unnamed ~25 km diameter crater, and flows out of it heading south. The valley ends flowing west into an unnamed ~70 km diameter crater. Some material eroded from the valley appears to have been deposited within that crater. Moreover, since its path intersects several craters, it is likely younger than the terrain it incises. Indus Vallis has the unusual characteristic (for Mars) of having an incised channel clearly incised into the floor of an apparent valley (e.g., THEMIS image I17797018, Figure 17b), and is, to our knowledge, the only valley visible at the MOLA resolution that contains such an incised channel, although the relationship between the incised channel and the valley is unclear. As such, we used the widths of the incised channel, and not valley widths for Indus Vallis. Indus Vallis has scaling exponents b, θ, and n of 2.2, 0.11, and 0.48, respectively (Figure 18).

4.9. Tinto Vallis

[41] Tinto Vallis (111.5°E–4.5°N) [Carr, 1995; Carr and Malin, 2000] is a short (~160 km) valley that flows northward into the ~50 km wide Palos crater, located ~1000 km southeast of the Isidis impact basin (Figure 19). It incises the surrounding terrain deeply (>600 m). Its...
surface morphology is very similar to Scamander Vallis; it has crisp valley boundaries with few impact craters within its walls (e.g., THEMIS image V17708002, Figure 19b). The depressions that characterize the source of Tinto Vallis have been interpreted as consistent with a subsurface origin for the fluids that caused incision [Carr and Malin, 2000]. Tinto Vallis has scaling exponents $b$, $\theta$, and $n$ of 4.7, $-25.6$, and 19.2, respectively (Figure 20).

Figure 7. Topographic and scaling results for Scamander Vallis (a–d are as in Figure 3). The first four segments in the distance downstream versus drainage area plot have a combined $n$ value of 19.4, compared with $n = 2.9$ for the last three.

Figure 8. (a) Context map of Evros Vallis. (b) V01648003 detail: 90° bend in Evros Vallis, a structural control?
4.10. Summary

The results of this study, summarized in Tables 2 and 3, show that none of the Martian valleys satisfies all three scaling relations typical of terrestrial perennial channels, even those valleys approaching the dendritic morphology of terrestrial channel networks. Our analysis also shows that only Naktong Vallis has scaling characteristics consistent with arid to semiarid channels on Earth since, unlike the main tributary of Nanedi Valles, Naktong Vallis further satisfies Hack’s law (although the “en echelon” structure of the data, discussed in section 5, is cause for concern). In contrast, Evros Vallis does not satisfy Hack’s law, the scaling results are thus in curious contradiction with its apparent dendritic morphology. A plausible explanation is that the valley is not preserved in its entirety. By nondimensionalizing valley width, length and drainage area, we compare the different Martian valleys to each other, and with expected terrestrial perennial scaling (Figure 21). We observe that except for Naktong Vallis, Indus Vallis, Hrad Vallis, and the main tributary of Nanedi Valles, no large valley from this study displays a substantial range in drainage area increase (explaining their “clumping” at the right edge of Figure 21). Bahram Vallis is also the only valley of the ones studied with a concave longitudinal profile incised within convex topography. The sinuosity of Martian valleys, defined as the ratio of valley length to the straight-line valley length, ranges between 1.1 and 1.45, values similar to terrestrial channels.

5. Discussion

Given the morphological distinction of three different types of large valleys on Mars (section 1), we identified Bahram Vallis, Scamander Vallis, Tinto Vallis and Hrad Vallis as having negligible contributions from tributaries. With longitudinal profiles that follow local topography, and little downstream change in valley widths, the quantitative results from Scamander Vallis and Hrad Vallis are consistent with the erosional signatures of point source, ephemeral discharges. Such an origin for the discharges responsible for erosion is likewise consistent for Tinto Vallis, Nirgal Vallis and Nanedi Valles, although the tight meanders in the main valley of Nanedi Valles (described in section 4.6) remain enigmatic. Bahram Vallis’ lack of contributing tributaries...
Figure 10. (a) Context map of Hrad Vallis. (b) V10780005 detail: Surface flow evidence in Hrad Vallis.

Figure 11. Topographic and scaling results for Hrad Vallis (a–d are as in Figure 3).
and little downstream increase in drainage area suggests that most of the discharge that carved the valley was sourced upstream, possibly by overflow from Maja Valles to the south.

[44] The values of $q$ and $n$ are obtained by a power law fit to the data, consistent with equations (5) and (7). However, the data have an “en echelon” structure, unusual for terrestrial networks, but consistent with the observed low correlation coefficients $R^2$. Each individual segment typically has a value of $\theta$ or $n$ an order of magnitude greater than the overall trend (Table 2). This segmentation further suggests that runoff is not provided from across a distributed drainage basin, and that another mechanism, likely of short duration from discrete source areas, gave rise to most of the valleys studied here, consistent with the morphological evidence and interpretation of large valleys by Carr and Malin [2000].

[45] We also identified Evros Vallis, Naktong Vallis, and Indus Vallis (all three incising Noachian terrain) as large valleys that are well dissected and that approach the dendritic morphology of terrestrial channel networks. However, detailed measurements of their downstream trends in width and slope reveal that they do not satisfy scaling relations of terrestrial perennial networks.

[46] Out of the 10 valleys studied here, only Naktong Vallis appears quantitatively (through scaling relationships) and observationally consistent with having been incised by meteoric water distributed across a drainage area, although it also displays an “en echelon” structure. Hence, two types of environments may be consistent with the morphology of Naktong Vallis: (1) an arid to semiarid climate (i.e., not “warm-wet”) with infrequent and local precipitation events, resulting in ephemeral discharges, and (2) a very brief warm-wet environment, with perennial discharges, but resulting in immature drainage systems. Knighton [1998] notes that

![Figure 12. (a) Context map of Nanedi Valles. (b) E0501620 detail: The “channel” within Nanedi Vallis. (c) I10724003 detail: Third-order tributary head of Nanedi Vallis’ main tributary. (d) I16839013 detail: The merging of the 2 branches of Nanedi Valles. Note the lack of width increase after the merger.](image-url)
river profile gradients typically adjust to changes in perennial flow on the order of $10^2 - 10^4$ years, constraining the limited duration for such a warm-wet environment. Since some fine-scale Noachian highland valley networks were likely formed by precipitation [e.g., Howard et al., 2005], and coupling this with our observations and those of others that they are physiographically immature [e.g., Irwin et al., 2005a], it is plausible to suggest that the atmosphere has never been adequate to sustain equilibrium channel and valley networks in the Noachian highlands, and the observed networks formed transiently. One such transient mechanism has been proposed by Segura et al. [2002], in discrete, impact-induced precipitation events.

While we have seen that the entirety of Evros Vallis might not be preserved because of its failing to satisfy Hack’s law, the fact that Indus Vallis (which satisfies Hack’s law) also fails to satisfy the other expected terrestrial (perennial and ephemeral/immature) scaling relationships, despite its dendritic similarity to terrestrial networks supports arguments against a long duration warm-wet early Mars. Among the large valleys on Mars morphologically suggestive of terrestrial conditions, Indus Vallis and Nak-tong Vallis are the best preserved. Had large amounts of water persisted on the surface of early Mars, hydrological cycling water through the atmosphere would have been expected, resulting in incision of substantial valleys with terrestrial-like scaling. Although, we find no direct evidence of this in our analyses, our observations are consistent with environmental conditions allowing transient, shallow lakes [Squyres et al., 2004].

On the basis of these observations, the studied Martian valleys support the notion that if an environment capable of sustaining equilibrium channel and valley networks existed in the Noachian [e.g., Craddock and Howard, 2002], such an environment was transient and/or waned rapidly. Had such conditions extended well into the Hesperian, signatures in the morphology of the large valleys would have been expected, contrary to our observations. Instead, our analysis shows morphological similarities to arid terrestrial networks, or a very short warm-wet climate. This conclusion is independently supported by the work of Elwood-Madden et al. [2004], which found that the occurrence of jarosite, along with unweathered basalt at the rover Opportunity landing site at Meridiani Planum (3–4 Ga) [Squyres and Knoll, 2005] required liquid water only episodically.

We do, however, recognize our limited sample size. Pending the availability of High Resolution Stereo Camera (HRSC) [Neukum and Jaumann, 2004] and High Resolution Image Spectrometer (HiRISE) [McEwen et al., 2007] DEM’s, future quantitative geomorphic studies on Martian

Figure 13. Topographic and scaling results of Nanedi Vallis main valley (a–d are as in Figure 3).
valley networks too small to be resolvable using MOLA would complement the analyses presented here, and further illuminate the history of water on Mars.

6. Conclusion

[50] We identified 10 large valley networks on Mars as resolvable using topographic data from the Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter, and classified them in 3 groups, based on their appearance. We classified them as either (1) valleys originating in point sources with negligible contributions from tributaries, (2) valleys that are very poorly dissected with a few large tributaries separated by long uninterrupted trunks, or (3) well-dissected valleys that approach the dendritic morphology typical of terrestrial networks. We then quantified their morphology using width-area, slope-area, and distance-area (Hack’s law) scaling relationships, and assessed their downstream variation in valley widths and bed curvature, two robust fluvial metrics for terrestrial channel networks. We found that none satisfy scaling relationships for terrestrial perennial channels, not even the ones classified as having a morphology approaching terrestrial networks, which are Noachian in age. On Mars, the width-area scaling exponent $b$ ranged from $-0.7$ to $4.7$; the slope-area scaling exponent $\theta$ ranged from $-25.6$ to $5.5$; and Hack’s exponent $n$ ranged from $0.47$ to $19.2$. Our results on valleys that are morphologically similar to terrestrial networks suggest their formation in either an arid, or a very short warm-wet climate. The scaling results for the other large valleys are consistent with their observed morphology, in having a point source and ephemeral discharge responsible for their incision. We further posit that the putative warm-wet period did not last much beyond the early Noachian if it did indeed exist. Consequently, terrestrial ephemeral and immature channel morphology and dam-break spillways provide informative analogs for the study of the large Martian valleys.

Appendix A

[51] The different accelerations due to gravity between Earth (9.81 m/s$^2$) and Mars (3.72 m/s$^2$) will not alter the trend of the width-area curve, and thus not alter the exponent $b$ (or $\theta$ and $n$), but will alter the y-intercept. This is addressed quantitatively here to compare expected measurements of channel widths on Earth with those measured on Mars, given identical hydraulic parameters.

[52] We start with the modified Manning’s equation, as described by Gioia and Bombardelli [2002], which is derived from turbulence theory and corrects for the dimen-
Figure 15. (a) Context map of Naktong Vallis. (b) V11370014 detail: The source of Naktong Vallis, incising impact ejecta. (c) V17198011 detail: The south rim of the Naktong “source crater.” Note the lack of incision.
Figure 16. Topographic and scaling results for Naktong Vallis (a–d are as in Figure 3).
Figure 17. (a) Context map of Indus Vallis. (b) I17797018 detail: Incised channel inset within Indus Vallis.
Figure 18. Topographic and scaling results for Indus Vallis (a–d are as in Figure 3). The two obvious trends in $\theta$ (and corresponding n) are listed as the parenthetical values of $\theta$ (and n) in Table 2.
Figure 19. (a) Context map of Tinto Vallis. (b) V17708002 detail: Tinto Vallis.
Figure 20. Topographic and scaling results for Tinto Vallis (a–d are as in Figure 3).
Figure 21. Normalized Martian scaling results compared with terrestrial perennial expectations (between solid lines). (a) Normalized valley width versus normalized drainage area. (b) Normalized slope versus normalized drainage area. (c) Normalized valley lengths versus drainage area (Hack's law).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valley</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>LCI b</th>
<th>Valley Length (km)</th>
<th>Putative Drainage Area (km²)</th>
<th>Sinuosity</th>
<th>Measured Cross Sections (MOLA)</th>
<th>Measured Cross Sections (THEMIS)</th>
<th>Width Versus Drainage Area (MOLA) b</th>
<th>Width Versus Drainage Area (THEMIS) b</th>
<th>Slope Versus Drainage Area c</th>
<th>Distance Downstream Versus Drainage Area c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bahram</td>
<td>302.5°E, 20.7°N</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>121300</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nirgal</td>
<td>319°E, 28°N</td>
<td>−0.39</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1569900</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scamander</td>
<td>28.6°E, 16°N</td>
<td>−0.092</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>97800</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.67</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evros</td>
<td>13.5°E, 12.5°N</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>51700</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hrad</td>
<td>135.3°E, 38.4°N</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>297900</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanedi (main)</td>
<td>311.7°E, 5°N</td>
<td>−0.096</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>182000</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td><strong>0.42</strong></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td><strong>0.34</strong></td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanedi (trib.)</td>
<td>310.4°E, 5°N</td>
<td>−0.007</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>28800</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naktong</td>
<td>33°E, 5°N</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>853500</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indus</td>
<td>39°E, 19°N</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>51400</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinto</td>
<td>111.5°E, 4.5°N</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>61600</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aBold values indicate terrestrial-like numbers.
bLangbein Concavity Index.
cValues in parenthesis indicate range of values for individual segments, see text for elaboration.
sional inconsistencies in the traditional Manning’s equation [Gioia and Bombardelli, 2002; Kleinhans, 2005; Wilson et al., 2004]:

\[ U = k \left( \frac{Q}{A} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}(RgS)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]  

(A1)

where \( U \) is the fluid velocity, \( k \) is a dimensionless constant, \( R \) is the hydraulic radius, \( r \) is the characteristic size of the bed material, and \( S \) is the slope. In a manner analogous to Finnegan et al. [2005] we define

\[ \alpha = \frac{W}{D}, \]  

(A2)

where \( W \) represents the channel width and \( D \) the channel depth. We thus impose the constraint of constant width-to-depth ratio to the assumption of rectangular channel. The hydraulic radius can thus be rewritten in terms of \( W \) and \( \alpha \) as

\[ R = \frac{W}{(\alpha + 2)^{\frac{1}{6}}}. \]  

(A3)

Substituting (A3) into (A1) and rearranging, one obtains

\[ U = kr^{-\frac{1}{6}}(\alpha + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} g^{\frac{1}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{6}} W^{\frac{1}{3}}. \]  

(A4)

Because \( Q = UA \), where \( Q \) is the discharge and \( A \) the cross-sectional area, (with \( A \) expressed as \( A = WD = W^2/\alpha \)), one can write

\[ Q = kr^{-\frac{1}{3}}(\alpha + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} g^{\frac{1}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{6}} W^{\frac{1}{3}} \alpha^{-1}. \]  

(A5)

And thus solving for \( W \)

\[ W = Q^{1/6} k^{\frac{1}{6}} r^{\frac{1}{6}} (\alpha + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} g^{\frac{1}{6}} S^{\frac{1}{6}}. \]  

(A6)

Taking all variables to be identical for two channels on Earth and Mars, except for the accelerations due to gravity (9.81 m/s² and 3.72 m/s², respectively), yields

\[ W_{Earth} = 0.83 \cdot W_{Mars} \text{ or } W_{Mars} = 1.20 \cdot W_{Earth}. \]  

(A7)
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Table 3. Summary of Results

| \( \theta < 0.2 \) (quasi-linear) | Nanedi Vallis (tributary) | Naktong Vallis* | terrestrial semi-arid to arid channels would map here |
| \( \theta > 0 \) (convex) | Scamander Vallis | Evros Vallis, Hrad Vallis* | Nanedi Vallis (main) | Narg Vallis Tinto Vallis |
| \( \theta > 0.2 \) (concave) | Bahram Vallis |

*Satisfies Hack’s law.
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